	Case 2:20-cv-00773-TLN-DB Documen	t 20 Filed 01/26/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHARLES WINDHAM,	No. 2:20-cv-773 TLN DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C.	
18	§1983. In a screening order filed August 31, 2020 (ECF No. 14), this court found plaintiff failed	
19	to state any claims in his second amended complaint that were cognizable under § 1983. This	
20	court further found that plaintiff may be able to state claims for a violation of his First	
21	Amendment right of access to the courts and for retaliation. Plaintiff was given 60 days to file a	
22	third amended complaint.	
23	In an order filed November 3, 2020 (ECF No. 19), this court granted plaintiff's motion for	
24	an extension of time to file a third amended complaint. Plaintiff's third amended complaint was	
25	due for filing on January 2, 2020.	
26	The due date for filing the third amended complaint has passed and plaintiff has not filed a	
27	third amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's November 3 order.	
28	////	
		1

## Case 2:20-cv-00773-TLN-DB Document 20 Filed 01/26/21 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to comply with court orders and prosecute this action. See E.D. Cal. R. 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41.

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within thirty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may result in waiver of the right to appeal the district court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: January 25, 2021

22 DEB1/prisoner-civil rights

DEBORAH BARNES
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DLB:9 DLB1/prisoner-civil rights/wind0773.fta fr